Student Name	Subject/Teacher	Assignment	Date

## **TRMC Rubric: Literacy**

Criteria	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing
Purpose	☐ Articulates an original and powerful thesis/claim ☐ Thoughtful selection of background information enhances purpose ☐ Skillfully addresses audience and anticipates reaction	☐ Articulates a clear thesis/claim ☐ Establishes purpose with relevant background information ☐ Addresses audience with appropriate tone and communication strategy	☐ Thesis/claim may be missing or unclear ☐ Background information is lacking or irrelevant and may not establish purpose ☐ Little evidence of audience awareness or communication strategy
Evidence	☐ Use literacy strategies to gather ample evidence to support thesis/claim ☐ Well selected, seamlessly integrated evidence ☐ Correct references/citations used throughout	☐ Use literacy strategies to gather sufficient evidence to support thesis/claim ☐ Consistent use of relevant evidence ☐ Minimal errors in references/citations	<ul> <li>□ Does not use literacy strategies to gather Insufficient evidence to support thesis/claim</li> <li>□ May include irrelevant evidence</li> <li>□ Several errors in or absence of references/citations</li> </ul>
Analysis	☐ Seamlessly integrates fact and opinion to support thesis/claim ☐ Makes insightful conclusions and interpretations of evidence that consistently support thesis/claim ☐ Synthesizes prior knowledge with provided evidence to demonstrate critical thinking	☐ Integrates fact and opinion appropriately ☐ Reasonable conclusions and interpretations of evidence consistently support thesis/claim ☐ Appropriately uses prior knowledge to make connections	<ul> <li>☐ May confuse fact and opinion</li> <li>☐ Conclusions and interpretations of evidence are lacking or irrelevant to thesis/claim</li> <li>☐ Lacks connections made to prior knowledge</li> </ul>
Revising and Editing	☐ Skillful use of language resulting in a fluent, coherent and unified progression of ideas ☐ Error-free use of Standard English, grammar, spelling, syntax, punctuation, & format ☐ Strong evidence of change from draft to final product based on reflection/feedback	☐ Consistent use of language that creates a clear progression of ideas ☐ Minimal errors in Standard English, grammar, spelling, syntax, punctuation, & format ☐ Some evidence of change from draft to final product based on reflection/feedback	□ Language results in an unclear progression of ideas □ Multiple errors in Standard English, grammar, spelling, syntax, punctuation, & format results in a unclear message □ No evidence of change from draft to final product
Additional Comments:		1	1